arXiv:1506.04672v4 [math.SP] 25 Sep 2015

Ruelle and Selberg zeta functions for non-unitary twists

Polyxeni Spilioti

Abstract. In this paper, we study the Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions on compact hyperbolic odd dimensional manifolds. These zeta functions are defined on one complex variable s in some right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$. We use the Selberg trace formula for arbitrary not neccesarily unitary representations of the fundamental group to establish the meromorphic continuation of these zeta functions to the whole complex plane.

Keywords: Selberg zeta function, Ruelle zeta function, Selberg trace formula.

1 Introduction

The Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions are dynamical zeta functions, which can be associated with the geodesic flow on the unit sphere bundle $S(X)$ of a compact hyperbolic riemannian manifold X. Namely, they provide information about the lenghts of the closed geodesics, also called length spectrum. If we consider the geodesic flow ϕ on $S(X)$ and $d\phi$ its differential, then ϕ has the Anosov property, i.e., there exists a $d\phi_t$-invariant continuous splitting

$$TS(X) = E^s \oplus E^c \oplus E^u,$$

where E^s, E^u consist of vectors that shrink, respectively expand exponentially, and E^c is the one dimensional subspace of vectors tangent to the flow, with respect to the riemannian metric, as $t \to \infty$.

These zeta functions can be represented by Euler products, which converge in some right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$. The main goal of this paper is to prove the meromorphic continuation of these functions to the whole complex plane. The Ruelle zeta function associated with the geodesic flow on the unit sphere bundle of a closed manifold with

C^ω-riemannian metric of negative curvature has been studied by Fried in [Fri95]. It is defined by

$$R(s) = \prod_{\gamma}(1 - e^{-sl(\gamma)}),$$

where γ runs over all the prime closed geodesics and $l(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ. In [Fri95, Corollary, p.180], it is proved that it admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.

We consider a compact hyperbolic manifold X of odd dimension d, obtained as follows. Let $G = \mathrm{SO}^0(d,1)$ and $K = \mathrm{SO}(d)$. Then, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G. Let $\widetilde{X} := G/K$. $\widetilde{X}$ can be equipped with a G-invariant metric, which is unique up to scaling and is of constant negative curvature. If we normalize this metric such that it has constant negative curvature -1, then $\widetilde{X}$, equipped with this metric, is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^d$. Let Γ be a discrete torsion-free subgroup of G such that $\Gamma\backslash G$ is compact. Then Γ acts by isometries on $\widetilde{X}$ and $X = \Gamma\backslash\widetilde{X}$ is a compact oriented hyperbolic manifold of dimension d. This is a case of a locally symmetric space of non-compact type of real rank 1. This means that in the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$, A is a multiplicative torus of dimension 1, i.e., $A \cong \mathbb{R}^+$.

For a given $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we denote by $[\gamma]$ the Γ-conjugacy class of γ. If $\gamma \neq e$, then there is a unique closed geodesic c_γ associated with $[\gamma]$. Let $l(\gamma)$ denote the length of c_γ. The conjugacy class $[\gamma]$ is called prime if there exist no $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > 1$ and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_0^k$. The prime geodesics correspond to the prime conjugacy classes and are those geodesics that trace out their image exactly once.

Let M be the centralizer of A in K. We define the zeta functions associated with unitary irreducible representations σ of M and finite dimensional representations χ of Γ. Let $\chi\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be a finite dimensional representation of Γ. Let $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$. Then the twisted Selberg zeta function $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$ is defined by the infinite product

$$Z(s;\sigma,\chi) := \prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e,\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \det\Big(\mathrm{Id} - \big(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})\big)e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}\Big),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the sum of the negative root spaces of the system $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ and $S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})$ denotes the k-th symmetric power of the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)$ restricted to $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$.

The twisted Ruelle zeta function $R(s;\sigma,\chi)$ is defined by the infinite product

$$R(s;\sigma,\chi) := \prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \det(\mathrm{Id} - \chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)e^{-sl(\gamma)})^{(-1)^{d-1}}.$$

Both $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$, $R(s;\sigma,\chi)$ converge absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of some half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$.

In our case, where $X=\Gamma/\mathbb{H}^d$, the dynamical zeta functions are twisted by a representation χ of Γ. For unitary representations of Γ, these zeta functions have been studied by Fried ([Fri86]) and Bunke and Olbrich ([BO95]). In [Fri86, Theorem 1], Fried proved that the Ruelle zeta function on a closed oriented hyperbolic manifold associated with an acyclic orthogonal representation of the fundamental group admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and furthermore the absolute value of the Ruelle zeta function evaluated at zero equals the Ray-Singer analytic torsion as it is introduced in [RS71]. Apart from the fact that this is of great importance, since it provides a connection between the geometry (geodesic flow expressed by the Ruelle zeta function) of the manifold and a spectral invariant (analytic torsion), the theorem of Fried gave rise to other applications in the field of spectral geometry, see for example [MM13], [Mül12]. In [Mül12], Müller considered the asymptotic behavior of the analytic torsion of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold X, associated with the m-th symmetric power of the standard representation τ_m of the group $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. In particular, he proved that for a closed hyperbolic oriented 3-manifold,

$$-\log T_X(\tau_m)=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(X)}{4\pi}m^2+O(m),$$

as $m\to\infty$ ([Mül12, Theorem 1.1]). In order to prove this theorem, he used the expression of the analytic torsion in terms of the Ruelle zeta function attached to τ_m and based on the results of Wotzke ([Wot08]). In his thesis, Wotzke generalized the theorem of Fried to the case of the induced representation $\tau|_\Gamma$ of Γ arising from a restriction of a finite dimensional complex representation $\tau\colon G\to\mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G. By [MM63, Proposition 3.1], there exists an isomorphism between the locally homogenous vector bundle E_τ over X associated with $\tau|_K$ and the flat vector bundle E_{flat} over X associated with $\tau|_\Gamma$. i.e.,

$$\Gamma\backslash(G/K\times V)\cong(\Gamma\backslash G\times V)/K.$$

Once this isomorphism is obtained, a hermitian fiber metric in E_τ ([MM63, Lemma 3.1]) descends to a fiber metric in E_{flat}. Therefore, the Laplace operator associated with $\tau|_\Gamma$ is a formally self-adjoint operator and the whole harmonic analysis on locally symmetric spaces is provided.

On the other hand, Bunke and Olbrich in [BO95] consider a unitary representation of the fundamental group for all the cases of compact locally symmetric spaces of real rank 1 and of non-compact type, i.e., the compact manifolds whose universal coverings are the real, complex, or quaternionic hyperbolic space, or the Cayley plane.

Using the Selberg trace formula as main tool for wave operators induced by certain Laplace-type and Dirac operators, they proved that the Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions admit a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex and furthermore satisfy functional equations.

Our main aim is to generalize the results of Bunke and Olbrich to the case of non-unitary representations of the fundamental group. Contrary to the setting of Wotzke, we can no longer use the results in [MM63], since we treat the case of an arbitrary finite dimensional representation $\chi\colon \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ of Γ. Let E_χ be the associated to χ flat vector bundle over X, equipped with a flat connection ∇^χ. In general, there is no hermitian metric h^χ, which is compatible with the flat connection. To overcome this problem we use the flat Laplacian, first introduced by Müller in [Mül11]. In fact, we use a more general operator, the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator defined as follows.

Let $\tau\colon K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\tau)$ be a complex finite dimensional unitary representation of K. Let $\widetilde{E}_\tau := G \times_\tau V_\tau \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ be the associated homogenous vector bundle over $\widetilde{X}$. Let $E_\tau := \Gamma\backslash(G \times_\tau V_\tau) \rightarrow X$ be the locally homogenous vector bundle over X. Let Δ_τ be the Bochner-Laplace operator associated with τ. We define the operator $\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ acting on $C^\infty(X, E_\tau \otimes E_\chi)$. Locally, it can be described as

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^\sharp_{\tau,\chi} = \widetilde{\Delta}_\tau \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_\chi},$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$ are the lifts to $\widetilde{X}$ of $\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ and Δ_τ, respectively. Our operator is not self-adjoint anymore. However, it has the same principal symbol as Δ_τ and hence has nice spectral properties, i.e., the spectrum of $\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ is a discrete subset of a positive cone in $\mathbb{C}$. We consider the corresponding heat semi-group $e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}$ acting on the space of smooth sections of the vector bundle $E_\tau \otimes E_\chi$. By [Mül11, Lemma 2.4], it is an integral operator with smooth kernel. Hence, we can consider the trace of the operator $e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}$ and derive a corresponding trace formula.

We already associated the Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions with irreducible representations σ of M. These representations are chosen precisely to be the representations arising from restrictions of representations of K. The keypoint of the proof of the meromorphic continuation of the Selberg zeta function is the Selebrg trace formula for the operator $e^{-tA^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}(\sigma)}$, where $A^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}(\sigma)$ is the twisted differential operator associated with $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ and induced by $\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$.

Theorem 1.1. *For every $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-tA^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)}) = &\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\lambda^2}P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)\frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes\chi(\gamma))e^{-|\rho|l(\gamma)}}{\det(\operatorname{Id}-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma))_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}}.$$

Our main results are stated in the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2. *The Selberg zeta function $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The set of the singularities equals $\{s_k^{\pm} = \pm i\sqrt{t_k} : t_k \in \operatorname{spec}(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)), k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The orders of the singularities are equal to $m(t_k)$, where $m(t_k) \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue t_k. For $t_0 = 0$, the order of the singularity s_0 is equal to $2m(0)$.*

Theorem 1.3. *For every $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$, the Ruelle zeta function $R(s;\sigma,\chi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.*

As it is mentioned before, the operator $A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)$ is induced by the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator and therefore has similar nice spectral properties, i.e., its spectrum is discrete an contained in a translate of a positive cone in $\mathbb{C}$. By Theorem 1.2, we observe that the singularities of the Selberg zeta function are located precisely at the points t_k, which belong to the discrete spectrum of the twisted operator $A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)$. Hence, this theorem provides an interesting relation between the dynamics of hyperbolic manifolds and the spectral theory of the twisted Bochner-Laplace operators.

Basic notions and facts from representation theory and the theory of locally symmetric spaces are presented in section 2. In section 3, we introduce the Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions associated with the geodesic flow of a compact hyperbolic manifold and prove that they converge in some right half-plane of $\mathbb{C}$ for an arbitrary finite dimensional representation of Γ. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator on a compact hyperbolic odd dimensional manifold X and its spectral properties. Next, in section 5, we obtain a trace formula for the heat operator, induced by specific twisted Bochner-Laplace operators. Finally, in section 6, we provide the proof of the meromorphic continuation of the dynamical zeta functions to the whole complex plane, as it is stated in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Acknowledgement. The present paper is part of the author's PhD thesis and therefore she is grateful to her supervisor, Werner Müller, for his helpful suggestions, remarks and advices.

2 Preliminaries

A special case of a locally symmetric space of real rank 1 is a compact hyperbolic locally symmetric manifold with universal covering the real hyperbolic space

$$\mathbb{H}^d=\{(x_1,\ldots,x_{d+1})\in\mathbb{R}^{d+1}:x_1^2-x_2^2\ldots-x_{d+1}^2=1,x_1>0\},$$

where $d=2n+1$, and $n\in\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ is an odd integer. We consider the universal coverings $G=\mathrm{Spin}(d,1)$ of $\mathrm{SO}^0(d,1)$ and $K=\mathrm{Spin}(d)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(d)$, respectively. We set $\widetilde{X}:=G/K$. Let $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{k}$ be the Lie algebras of G and K, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}\oplus\mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by Θ the Cartan involution of G and θ be the differential of Θ at $e_G=e$, which is the identity element of G. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e., a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}$. There exists a canonical isomorphism $T_{eK}\cong\mathfrak{p}$. We consider the subgroup A of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $M:=\mathrm{Centr}_K(A)$ be the centralizer of A in K. Then, $M=\mathrm{Spin}(d-1)$ or $M=\mathrm{SO}(d-1)$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be its Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{b}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We consider the complexifications $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}:=\mathfrak{g}\oplus i\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}:=\mathfrak{h}\oplus i\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}:=\mathfrak{m}\oplus i\mathfrak{m}$. Let $B(X,Y)$ be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$ defined by $B(X,Y)=\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(X)\circ\mathrm{ad}(Y))$. It is a symmetric bilinear from. We consider the inner product

$$\langle Y_1,Y_2\rangle_0:=\frac{1}{2(d-1)}B(Y_1,Y_2),\quad Y_1,Y_2\in\mathfrak{g},\tag{2.1}$$

induced by the Killing form. The restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_0$ to $\mathfrak{p}$ defines an inner product on $\mathfrak{p}$ and hence induces a G-invariant riemannian metric on $\widetilde{X}$, which has constant curvature -1. Then, $\widetilde{X}$, equipped with this metric, is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^d$. Let $\Gamma\subset G$ be a lattice, i.e., a discrete subgroup of G such that $\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)<\infty$. Γ acts properly discontinuously on $\widetilde{X}$ and $X:=\Gamma\backslash\widetilde{X}$ is a locally symmetric space of finite volume. We assume that Γ is torsion free. i.e., there exists no $\gamma\in\Gamma$ with $\gamma\neq e$ such that for $k=2,3,\ldots$, $\gamma^k=e$. Then, X is a locally symmetric manifold. If in addition Γ is cocompact, then X is a locally symmetric compact hyperbolic manifold of odd dimension d.

Let $G=KAN$ be the standard Iwasawa decomposition of G. Let $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ be the set of positive roots of the system $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$. Then, $\Delta^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})=\{\alpha\}$. Let $M'=\mathrm{Norm}_K(A)$ be the normalizer of A in K. We define the restricted Weyl group as the quotient $W_A:=M'/M$. Then, W_A has order 2. Let $w\in W_A$ be a non-trivial element of W_A, and m_w a representative of w in M'. The action of W_A on $\widehat{M}$ is defined by

$$(w\sigma)(m):=\sigma(m_w^{-1}mm_w),\quad m\in M,\sigma\in\widehat{M}.$$

Let $H_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha(H_{\mathbb{R}}) = 1$. With respect to the inner product (2.1), $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ has norm 1. We define

$$A^{+} := \{\exp(tH_{\mathbb{R}}) \colon t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We define also

$$\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})} \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})\alpha, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{b})} \alpha. \tag{2.4}$$

The inclusion $i \colon M \hookrightarrow K$ induces the restriction map $i^{*} \colon R(K) \to R(M)$, where $R(K), R(M)$ are the representation rings over $\mathbb{Z}$ of K and M, respectively. Let $\widehat{K}, \widehat{M}$ be the sets of equivalent classes of irreducible unitary representations of K and M, respectively. Then, for the highest weight ν_{τ} of $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ we have

$$\nu_{\tau} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n),$$

where $\nu_1 \geq \ldots \geq \nu_n$ and $\nu_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are all integers or all half integers (that is $\nu_i = q_i/2, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) and for the highest weight ν_{σ} of $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$,

$$\nu_{\sigma} = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_{n-1}, \nu_n), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\nu_1 \geq \ldots \geq \nu_{n-1} \geq |\nu_n|$ and $\nu_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ are all integers or all half integers (see [BO95, p. 20]). Let s be the spin representation of K, given by

$$s \colon K \to \mathrm{End}(\Delta_{2n}) \oplus \mathrm{End}(\Delta_{2n}) \xrightarrow{pr} \mathrm{End}(\Delta_{2n})$$

where $\Delta_{2n} := \mathbb{C}^{2^k}$ such that $n = k$, and pr denotes the projection onto the first component ([Fri00, p.14]). We set for abbreviation $S = \Delta_{2n}$. Let (s^{+}, S^{+}), (s^{-}, S^{-}) be the half spin representations of M, where $S^{\pm} := \Delta^{\pm}$ ([Fri00, p.22]). The highest weight of s is given by $\nu_s = (\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2})$, and the highest weights of s^{+}, s^{-} are $\nu_{s^{+}} = (\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2})$, $\nu_{s^{-}} = (\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, -\frac{1}{2})$, respectively ([BO95, p. 20]).

We consider now the parametrization of the principal series representation. Let $P = MAN$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G. For $(\sigma, V_{\sigma}) \in \widehat{M}$, we define the space $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}$ of continuous functions on G by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sigma} := \{f \colon G \to V_{\sigma} : f(gman) = e^{-(i\lambda + |\rho|)t}\sigma^{-1}(m)f(g), \forall g \in G, \forall man \in P\},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and ρ as in (2.3), with norm

$$\|f\|_c = \int_K \|f(k)\|^2 dk. \tag{2.6}$$

We define the principal series representation as the induced representation

$$\pi_{\sigma,\lambda} := \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes e^{i\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{Id}),$$

with representation space the Hilbert space, obtained by completion of $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_c$ in (2.6). For $f \in \mathcal{H}_\sigma$, the action of G on f is given by $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(g)f(g') = f(g^{-1}g')$. $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is the space of the linear functionals on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In the definition of the space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$, λ is a complex number. Hence, $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is identified with $\mathbb{C}$, using the positive root. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then the representation $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}$ is unitary. In addition, if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, then $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}$ is irreducible.

Let $\mu_{PL}(\pi_{\sigma,\lambda})$ be the Plancherel measure, viewed as a measure on the set of the principal series represenations $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}$. Since $\mathrm{rank}(G) > \mathrm{rank}(K)$, by classical result of Harish-Chandra ([HC66]), the set of the discrete series representations of G is empty. Then, by [Kna86, Theorem 13.2],

$$d\mu_{PL}(\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}) = P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Here, $P_\sigma(i\lambda)$ is the Plancherel polynomial given by

$$P_\sigma(i\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h})} \frac{\langle i\lambda + \nu_\sigma + \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}, \alpha\rangle}{\langle \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha\rangle},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $\mathfrak{a}^*$, ν_σ is the highest weight of σ as in (2.5), $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is defined as in (2.4), and $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined by

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{h})} \alpha,$$

(see [BO95, p.46]). Let $z = i\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by [Mia79, p.264-265], $P_\sigma(z)$ is an even polynomial of z, and hence $P_\sigma(z) = P_\sigma(-z)$.

3 Twisted Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions

We consider the twisted Ruelle and Selberg zeta functions associated with the geodesic flow on the sphere vector bundle $S(X)$ of $X = \Gamma\backslash G/K$. Since K acts transitively on the unit vectors of $\mathfrak{p}$, by the adjoint representation, $S(\widetilde{X})$ can be represented by the homogenous space G/M. Therefore, $S(X) = \Gamma\backslash G/M$.

We recall the Cartan decomposition $G = KA^+K$ of G, where A^+ is as in (2.1). Then, every element $g \in G$ can be written as $g = ha_+k$, where $h, k \in K$ and $a_+ = \exp(tH_{\mathbb{R}})$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The positive real number t equals $t = d(eK, gK)$, where d denotes the geodesic distance on $\widetilde{X}$. It is a well known fact ([GKM68]) that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the closed geodesics on a manifold X with negative sectional curvature and the non-trivial conjugacy classes of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of X. The hyperbolic elements of Γ can be realized as the semisimple elements of this group, i.e., the diagonalizable elements of Γ. Since Γ is a cocompact subgroup of G, we realize every element $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{e\}$ as hyperbolic. We denote by c_γ the closed geodesic on X, associated with the hyperbolic conjugacy class $[\gamma]$. We denote also by $l(\gamma)$ the length of c_γ. Since Γ is torsion-free, $l(\gamma)$ is always positive and therefore we can obtain an infimum for the length spectrum $\operatorname{spec}(\Gamma) := \{l(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. An element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is called primitive if there exists no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > 1$ and $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_0^n$. We associate to a primitive element $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ a prime geodesic on X. The prime geodesics correspond to the periodic orbits of minimal length. Hence, if a hyperbolic element γ in Γ is generated by a primitive element γ_0, then there exists a $n_\Gamma(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_0^{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}$. The corresponding closed geodesic is of length $l(\gamma) = n_\Gamma(\gamma)l(\gamma_0)$.

We lift now the closed geodesic c_γ to the universal covering $\widetilde{X}$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $l(\gamma) := \inf\{d(x, \gamma x) : x \in \widetilde{X}\}$, and $l(\gamma) = \inf\{d(eK, g^{-1}\gamma gK) : g \in G\}$. Hence, we see that the length of the closed geodesic $l(\gamma)$ depends only on $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$, with $\gamma \neq e$ and γ hyperbolic. Then, by [Wal76, Lemma 6.5] there exist a $g \in G$, a $m_\gamma \in M$, and an $a_\gamma \in A^+$, such that $g^{-1}\gamma g = m_\gamma a_\gamma$. The element m_γ is determined up to conjugacy classes in M, and the element a_γ depends only on γ.

Analogous to the consideration of [BO95, Section 3.1], we define the geodesic flow ϕ on $S(X)$ by the map

$$\phi\colon \mathbb{R} \times S(X) \ni (t, \Gamma gM) \to \Gamma g \exp(-tH_{\mathbb{R}})M \in S(X).$$

A closed orbit of ϕ is described by the set $c := \{\Gamma g \exp(-tH_{\mathbb{R}})M \colon t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $g \in G$ is such that $g^{-1}\gamma g := m_\gamma a_\gamma \in MA^+$.

The Anosov property of the geodesic flow ϕ on $S(X)$ can be expressed by the following $d\phi$-invariant splitting of $TS(X)$

$$TS(X) = T^sS(X) \oplus T^cS(X) \oplus T^uS(X), \tag{3.1}$$

where $T^sS(X)$ consist of vectors that shrink exponentially, $T^uS(X)$ expand exponentially, and $T^cS(X)$ is the one dimensional subspace of vectors tangent to the flow, with respect to the riemannian metric, as $t \to \infty$. The spitting in (3.1), corresponds to splitting

$$TS(X) = \Gamma\backslash G \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} (\overline{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}), \tag{3.2}$$

where Ad denotes the adjoint action of $\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(-tH_{\mathbb{R}}))$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}$, and $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \theta\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum of the negative root spaces of the system $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$.

Let $(\sigma, V_\sigma) \in \widehat{M}$ and (χ, V_χ) be a finite dimensional representation of Γ. Let $E(\sigma, \chi) := \Gamma\backslash(G \times_{\sigma\otimes\chi} (V_\sigma \otimes V_\gamma)) \to S(X)$ be the vector bundle over $S(X)$, associated to the representations σ and χ. The action of M and Γ on $G \times (V_\sigma \otimes V_\gamma)$ is defined by

$$[g, v \otimes w] = \{(\gamma g, \sigma(m)v \otimes \chi(\gamma)w) \colon g \in G, v \in V_\sigma, w \in V_\chi, \gamma \in \Gamma, m \in M\},$$

We can lift the flow φ to the flow $\varphi_{\sigma,\chi}$ on $E(\sigma, \chi)$ by the map

$$\phi_{\sigma,\chi} \colon \mathbb{R} \times E(\sigma, \chi) \ni (t, [g, v \otimes w]) \mapsto [g \exp(-tH_{\mathbb{R}}), v \otimes w] \in E(\sigma, \chi).$$

Definition 3.1. Let $\chi \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be a finite dimensional representation of Γ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$. The twisted Selberg zeta function $Z(s; \sigma, \chi)$ for X is defined by the infinite product

$$Z(s; \sigma, \chi) := \prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e \\ [\gamma] \text{ prime}}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - (\chi(\gamma) \otimes \sigma(m_\gamma) \otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}))e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}\right), \tag{3.3}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\mathfrak{n}} = \theta\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum of the negative root spaces of $\mathfrak{a}$, $S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})$ denotes the k-th symmetric power of the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)$ restricted to $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, and ρ is as in (2.3).

Definition 3.2. Let $\chi \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be a finite dimensional representation of Γ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$. The twisted Ruelle zeta function $R(s; \sigma, \chi)$ for X is defined by the infinite product

$$R(s; \sigma, \chi) := \prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e \\ [\gamma] \text{ prime}}} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \chi(\gamma) \otimes \sigma(m_\gamma)e^{-sl(\gamma)}\right)^{(-1)^{d-1}}. \tag{3.4}$$

In order to prove the convergence of the zeta functions, we need to find an upper bound for the character of any finite dimensional representation of Γ.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\chi\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be a finite dimensional representation of Γ. Then, there exist positive constants $K, k > 0$ such that*

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\chi(\gamma))| \leq Ke^{kl(\gamma)}, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma - \{e\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. We fix a finite set of generators $L = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ of Γ and choose a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on V_χ. Let $d_W(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the word metric on Γ (see [LMR00] for further details). Let $l_W(\gamma) = d_W(\gamma, e)$ be the length of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with respect to this metric. Then, if we put $C = \max\{\|\chi(\gamma_i)\| : \gamma_i \in L \cup L^{-1}\}$, we get for $c = \log C$,

$$\|\chi(\gamma)\| \leq e^{cl_W(\gamma)}. \tag{3.6}$$

By [LMR00, Prop. 3.2], it follows that there exist positive constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 d(x_0, \gamma x_0) \leq d_W(e, \gamma) \leq c_2 d(x_0, \gamma x_0), \tag{3.7}$$

where $x_0 := eK$ is the identity element of $\widetilde{X}$. Then, (3.6) becomes by (3.7)

$$\|\chi(\gamma)\| \leq C_1 e^{c_2 d(x_0, \gamma x_0)}.$$

It follows that

$$|\mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\gamma)| \leq \dim(V_\chi)\|\chi(\gamma)\| \leq C_3 e^{c_2 d(x_0,\gamma x_0)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now by definition,

$$l(\gamma) := \min\{d(x, \gamma x) : x \in \widetilde{X}\}.$$

We choose a fundamental domain $F \subset \widetilde{X}$ for Γ such that $x_0 \in F$. Given $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let x_1 be in $\widetilde{X}$, such that $l(\gamma) = d(x_1, \gamma x_1)$. Then, there exists a $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$ such that $x_1 \in \gamma_1 F$. Let $x_2 \in F$ such that $x_1 = \gamma_1 x_2$. By compactness of the fundamental domain, diam(F) is finite. If we put $\delta := \mathrm{diam}(F)$, then

$$d(x_0, x_2) \leq \delta. \tag{3.9}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0) &\leq d(x_0, x_2) + d(x_2, \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0) \\ &\leq \delta + d(x_2, \gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0) &\leq d(x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_2)+d(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0)\\ &\leq d(x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_2)+d(x_0,x_2)\\ &\leq d(x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_2)+\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, by (3.10) and (3.11) we get

$$d(x_0,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0)\leq 2\delta+d(x_2,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_2).$$

Recall that $x_1=\gamma_1 x_2$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0) &\leq 2\delta+d(\gamma_1^{-1}x_1,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma x_1)\\ &\leq 2\delta+d(x_1,\gamma x_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Using (3.8) and (3.12) we obtain the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}(\chi(\gamma))| &= |\mathrm{tr}(\chi(\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1))|\\ &\leq C_3 e^{c_2 d(x_0,\gamma_1^{-1}\gamma\gamma_1 x_0)}\\ &\leq C_3 e^{c_2(2\delta+d(x_1,\gamma x_1))}\\ &= C_4 e^{c_2 d(x_1,\gamma x_1)} = C_4 e^{c_2 l(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. □

We are ready now to prove the convergence of the Selberg and Ruelle zeta functions.

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $\chi\colon\Gamma\rightarrow\mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ *be a finite dimensional representation of* Γ *and* $\sigma\in\widehat{M}$. *Then, there exists a constant* $c>0$ *such that*

$$Z(s;\sigma,\chi):=\prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}}\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\det\big(1-(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}))e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}\big), \tag{3.13}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s)>c$.

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\log Z(s;\sigma,\chi) &= \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{tr}\log(1-(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}))e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)})\\ &= -\sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr}((\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}))e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)})^j}{j}\\ &= -\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}\operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)\otimes S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}))e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}\\ &= -\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}\operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma))\frac{e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}}{\det(\mathrm{Id}-\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})},\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where in the last equation we made use of the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^k(\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}) = \frac{1}{\det(\mathrm{Id}-\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}}.$$

Initially, we observe that

$$|\operatorname{tr}\sigma(m_\gamma)| \leq \dim(\sigma), \quad \forall \sigma\in\widehat{M}.$$

We need an upper bound for the growth of the length spectrum $l(\gamma)$. Using the normalization of the Haar measure on G as in [Wal73, Proposition 7.6.4] we see that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that for every $R>0$

$$\mathrm{Vol}(B(x_0,R)) \leq Ce^{2|\rho|R},$$

where ρ as in (2.3). Since Γ is a cocompact lattice of G, there exists a positive constant C' such that

$$\sharp\{[\gamma] : l(\gamma) < R\} \leq \sharp\{\gamma\in\Gamma : l(\gamma)\leq R\} \leq C'e^{2|\rho|R}. \tag{3.15}$$

We need also an upper bound for the quantity

$$\frac{1}{\det(\mathrm{Id}-\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})}.$$

Since $\det(\operatorname{Ad}(a_\gamma)_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}}) = \exp(-2|\rho|l(\gamma))$ we can use the estimates (3.15) to see that we can consider a $[\gamma_{min}]$ among all the conjugacy classes of Γ, such that $l(\gamma_{min})$ is of minimum length. Hence, there exists a positive constant $C'' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\det(\operatorname{Id} - \operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}})} < C''.$$

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that there exist positive constants $C, c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \Big| \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) \frac{e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}}{\det(\operatorname{Id} - \operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}})} \Big| \\
&\leq C \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} e^{(c_1 - \operatorname{Re}(s))l(\gamma)} \\
&= C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e \\ k\leq l(\gamma)\leq k+1}} e^{(c_1 - \operatorname{Re}(s))l(\gamma)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(k+1) e^{(c_1 - \operatorname{Re}(s))k},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(R) := \sharp\{[\gamma] \in \Gamma : l(\gamma) \leq R\}, \quad R \geq 0.$$

By (3.15), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}(k+1) e^{(c_1 - \operatorname{Re}(s))k} \leq C' \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{(2|\rho| + c_1 - \operatorname{Re}(s))k}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant $c > 0$ such that for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > c$,

$$\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \Big| \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) \frac{e^{-(s+|\rho|)l(\gamma)}}{\det(\operatorname{Id} - \operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}})} \Big| < \infty.$$

The assertion follows form (3.14). $\square$

A similar approach will be used to establish the convergence of the Ruelle zeta function.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\chi\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be a finite dimensional representation of Γ and $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$. Then, there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that*

$$R(s;\sigma,\chi) := \prod_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)e^{-sl(\gamma)}\right)^{(-1)^{d-1}}. \tag{3.16}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of the half-plane $\mathrm{Re}(s) > r$.

Proof. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\log R(s;\sigma,\chi) =&(-1)^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \operatorname{tr}\log(1-\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)e^{-sl(\gamma)})\\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ [\gamma]\text{ prime}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\operatorname{tr}((\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)e^{-sl(\gamma)})^j)}{j}\\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma))e^{-sl(\gamma)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By Lemma 3.3, it follows that there exist positive constants $C, c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}\Big| \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma))e^{-sl(\gamma)}\Big|&\\ &\leq C\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} e^{(c_1-\mathrm{Re}(s))l(\gamma)}\\ &= C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{[\gamma]\neq e\\ k\leq l(\gamma)\leq k+1}} \mathcal{N}(k+1)e^{(c_1-\mathrm{Re}(s))l(\gamma)}\\ &\leq C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{N}(k+1)e^{(c_1-\mathrm{Re}(s))k}.\end{aligned}$$

By (3.15), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{N}(k+1)e^{(c_1-\mathrm{Re}(s))k} \leq C'\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{(2|\rho|+c_1-\mathrm{Re}(s))k}.$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant $r > 0$ such that for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathrm{Re}(s) > r$,

$$\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \Big| \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma) \otimes \sigma(m_\gamma)) e^{-sl(\gamma)} \Big| < \infty. \tag{3.18}$$

The assertion follows form (3.17). $\square$

Lemma 3.6. *We set*

$$L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) := \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma) \otimes \sigma(m_\gamma)) e^{-|\rho| l(\gamma)}}{\det\left(\mathrm{Id} - \mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}\right)}. \tag{3.19}$$

Then, the logarithmic derivative of the Selberg zeta function $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$ is given by

$$L(s) := \frac{d}{ds} \log(Z(s;\sigma,\chi)) = \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) e^{-sl(\gamma)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. We see by equation (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \log(Z(s;\sigma,\chi)) &= \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma) \otimes \sigma(m_\gamma)) \frac{e^{-sl(\gamma)} e^{-|\rho| l(\gamma)}}{\det(1 - \mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})} \\ &= \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) e^{-sl(\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4 The twisted Bochner-Laplace operator

Let $E \to X$ be a complex vector bundle with covariant derivative ∇. We define the second covariant derivative ∇^2 by

$$\nabla^2_{V,W} := \nabla_V \nabla_W - \nabla_{\nabla^{LC}_V W},$$

where $V, W \in C^\infty(X, TX)$ and ∇^{LC} denotes the Levi-Civita connection on TX. We define the connection Laplacian Δ_E to be the negative of the trace of the second covariant derivative, i.e.,

$$\Delta_E := -\operatorname{Tr} \nabla^2.$$

By [LM89, p.154], the connection Laplacian is equal to the Bocner-Laplace operator, i.e.

$$\Delta_E = \nabla^* \nabla.$$

In terms of a local orthonormal frame field $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ of $T_x X$, for $x \in X$, the connection Laplacian is given by

$$\Delta_E = -\sum_{j=1}^{d} \nabla^2{}_{e_j, e_j}.$$

$\Delta_E \colon C^\infty(X, E) \circlearrowright$ is a second order differential operator. Let h be a metric in E. Then, Δ_E acts in $L^2(X, E)$ with domain $C^\infty(X, E)$. Since the principal symbol of Δ_E is computed to be $\sigma_{\Delta_E}(x, \xi) = \|\xi\|_x^2 \operatorname{Id}_{E_x}$, we can conclude that Δ_E is an elliptic operator and hence it has nice spectral properties. Namely, its spectrum is discrete and contained in a translate of a positive cone $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{R}^+ \subset C$ ([Shu87, Theorem 8.4 and Theorem 9.3]). Furthermore, if the metric is compatible with the connection ∇, Δ_E is formally self-adjoint.

Let $\chi \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ be an arbitrary representation $\chi \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ of Γ. Let $E_\chi \to X$ be the associated flat vector bundle over X, equipped with a flat connection ∇^{E_χ}.

We specialize now to the twisted case $E = E_0 \otimes E_\chi$, where $E_0 \to X$ is a complex vector bundle equipped with a connection ∇^{E_0} and a metric, which is compatible with this connection. Let $\nabla^E = \nabla^{E_0 \otimes E_\chi}$ the product-connection, defined by

$$\nabla^{E_0 \otimes E_\chi} := \nabla^{E_0} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{E_\chi}.$$

We define the operator $\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}$ by

$$\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi} = -\operatorname{Tr}\big((\nabla^{E_0 \otimes E_\chi})^2\big). \tag{4.1}$$

We choose a hermitian metric in E_χ. Then, $\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}$ acts on $L^2(X, E_0 \otimes E_\chi)$. However, it is not a formally self-adjoint operator in general. We want to describe this operator locally. Following the analysis in [Mül11], we consider an open subset U of X such that $E_\chi|_U$ is trivial. Then, $E_0 \otimes E_\chi|_U$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of m-copies of $E_0|_U$, i.e.,

$$(E_0 \otimes E_\chi)|_U \cong \oplus_{i=1}^m E_0|_U,$$

where $m := \operatorname{rank}(E_\chi) = \dim V_\chi$. Let $(e_i), i = 1, \cdots, m$ be a basis of flat sections of $E_\chi|_U$. Then, each $\phi \in C^\infty(U, (E_0 \otimes E_\chi)|_U)$ can be written as

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i \otimes e_i,$$

where $\phi_i \in C^\infty(U, E_0|_U), i = 1, \dots, m$. The product connection is given by

$$\nabla_Y^{E_0 \otimes E_\chi} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\nabla_Y^{E_0})(\phi_i) \otimes e_i,$$

where $Y \in C^\infty(X, TX)$.
By (4.1) we obtain the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator acting on $C^\infty(X, E_0 \otimes E_\chi)$, defined by

$$\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\Delta_{E_0} \phi_i) \otimes e_i, \tag{4.2}$$

where Δ_{E_0} denotes the Bochner-Laplace operator $\Delta^{E_0} = (\nabla^{E_0})^* \nabla^{E_0}$ associated to the connection ∇^{E_0}. Let now $\widetilde{E}_0, \widetilde{E}_\chi$ be the pullbacks to $\widetilde{X}$ of E_0, E_χ, respectively. Then,

$$\widetilde{E}_\chi \cong \widetilde{X} \times V_\chi,$$

and

$$C(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_0 \otimes \widetilde{E}_\chi) \cong C(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_0) \otimes V_\chi. \tag{4.3}$$

With respect to the isomorphism (4.3), it follows from (4.2) that the lift of $\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}$ to $\widetilde{X}$ takes the form

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^\sharp_{E_0,\chi} = \widetilde{\Delta}_{E_0} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_\chi}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_{E_0}$ is the lift of Δ_{E_0} to $\widetilde{X}$. By (4.2), $\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}$ has principal symbol

$$\sigma_{\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}}(x, \xi) = \|\xi\|_x^2 \, \mathrm{Id}_{(E_0 \otimes E_\chi)_x}, \quad x \in X, \xi \in \mathrm{T}_x^* X, \xi \neq 0.$$

Hence, since the principal symbol is self-adjoint with respect to the fiber metrics on $E\chi$ and E_0, it has nice spectral properties, i.e., its spectrum is discrete and contained in a translate of a positive cone $C \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{R}^+ \subset C$ ([Shu87, Theorem 8.4 and Theorem 9.3]). We include here some definitions, which are needed to study the spectrum of the twisted Laplace-Bochner operator. For further details see [BK08, p.203-206].

Definition 4.1. A spectral cut is a ray

$$R_\theta := \{\rho e^{i\theta} : \rho \in [0, \infty]\},$$

where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Definition 4.2. The angle θ is a principal angle for the elliptic operator $\Delta^\sharp_{E_0,\chi}$ if

$$\mathrm{spec}(\sigma_\Delta(x, \xi)) \cap R_\theta = \emptyset, \quad \forall x \in X, \forall \xi \in T_x^* X, \xi \neq 0.$$

Definition 4.3. We define the solid angle L_I associated with a closed interval I of $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$L_I := \{\rho e^{i\theta} : \rho \in (0,\infty), \theta \in I\}.$$

Definition 4.4. The angle θ is an Agmon angle for an elliptic operator $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$, if it is a principal angle for D and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{spec}(\Delta) \cap L_{[\theta-\varepsilon,\theta+\varepsilon]} = \emptyset.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ be an angle such that the principal symbol $\sigma_{\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}}(x,\xi)$ of $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$, for $\xi \in T^*_x X, \xi \neq 0$ does not take values in $L_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}$. Then, the spectrum* $\operatorname{spec}(\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi})$ *of the operator $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$ is discrete and for every $\varepsilon \in (0,\frac{\pi}{2})$ there exist $R > 0$ such that* $\operatorname{spec}(\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi})$ *is contained in the set $B(0,R) \cup L_{[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]} \subset \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The discreteness of the spectrum follows from [Shu87, Theorem 8.4]. For the second statement see [Shu87, Theorem 9.3]. □

Let λ_k be an eigenvalue of $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$ and V_{λ_k} be the corresponding eigenspace. This is a finite dimensional subspace of $C^{\infty}(X,E)$ invariant under D. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi} - \lambda_k \operatorname{Id})^{N_k} V_{\lambda_k} = 0$$
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} |\lambda_k| = \infty.$$

By [Mar88], the space $L^2(X,E)$ can be decomposed as

$$L^2(X,E) = \overline{\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} V_{\lambda_k}}.$$

This is the generalization of the eigenspace decomposition of a self-adjoint operator. We note here that in general the above decomposition is not a sum of mutually orthogonal subspaces (see [Mül11, p. 7]).

Definition 4.6. We call algebraic multiplicity $m(\lambda_k)$ of the eigenvalue λ_k the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace V_{λ_k}.

We want to define the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}}$ associated to the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator. Let θ be an Agmon angle for the operator $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$. Then, by definition of the Agmon angle and Lemma 4.5, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{spec}(\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}) \cap L_{[\theta-\varepsilon,\theta+\varepsilon]} = \emptyset.$$

Since $\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}$ has discrete spectrum, there exists also an $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{spec}(\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z+1| \leq 2r_0\} = \emptyset.$$

We define a contour Γ_{θ,r_0} as follows.

$$\Gamma_{\theta,r_0} = \Gamma_1 \cup \ \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3,$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \{-1 + re^{i\theta} \colon \infty > r \geq r_0\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{-1 + r_0 e^{ia} \colon \theta \leq a \leq \theta + 2\pi\}$, $\Gamma_3 = \{-1 + re^{i(\theta+2\pi)} \colon r_0 \leq r < \infty\}$. On Γ_1, r runs from ∞ to r_0, Γ_2 is oriented counterclockwise, and on Γ_3, r runs from r_0 to ∞. We put

$$e^{-t\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi}} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta,r_0}} e^{-t\lambda} (\Delta^{\sharp}_{E_0,\chi} - \lambda \operatorname{Id})^{-1} d\lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

By [Shu87, Corollary 9.2] and the fact that $|e^{-t\lambda}| \leq e^{-t\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}$, the integral in equation (4.5) is well defined.

5 Trace Formulas

We want now to define a more special case of a twisted Bochner-Laplace operator. Namely, we conisder the operator $\Delta^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$ acting on $C^{\infty}(X, E_\tau \otimes E_\chi)$, where E_τ is the locally associated homogenous vector bundle associated with a complex finite dimensional unitary representaion (τ, V_τ) of K.

The keypoint is that, when we consider the lift of the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator to the universal covering, this operator acts as the identity operator on the space of the smooth sections of the flat vector bundle E_χ. Recall that by formula (4.4), we get

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi} = \widetilde{\Delta}_\tau \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{V_\chi},$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$ is the lift to $\widetilde{X}$ of the Bochner-Laplace operator Δ_τ, associated with the representation τ of K.

We give here an explicit description of the operator Δ_τ. We regard the Lie group G as principal K-fiber bundle over $\widetilde{X}$. Let $\pi \colon G \to G/K$ be the canonical projection. Then, since $\mathfrak{p}$ is invariant under the adjoint action $\operatorname{Ad}(k), k \in K$, the assignment

$$T^{hor}_g := \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} g\exp(tX), \quad X \in \mathfrak{p}$$

defines a horizontal distribution on G ([KN96, Chapter III]). This is the canonical connection in the principal bundle G. Let $\tau : K \to \operatorname{GL}(V_\tau)$ be a complex finite dimensional unitary representation of K on a vector space V_τ, equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle_\tau$. Let $\widetilde{E}_\tau$ be the homogenous vector bundle associated with (τ, V_τ), defined by

$$\widetilde{E}_\tau := G \times_\tau V_\tau \to \widetilde{X},$$

where K acts on (G, V_τ) on the right by

$$(g, v)k = (gk, \tau^{-1}(k)v), \quad g \in G, k \in K, v \in V_\tau.$$

The inner product $\langle \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle_\tau$ on the vector space V_τ induces a G-invariant metric h^{E_τ} on $\widetilde{E}_\tau$. We denote by $C^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$ the space of the smooth sections of the vector bundle $\widetilde{E}_\tau$. We define the space

$$C^\infty(G;\tau) = \{f : G \to V_\tau \colon f \in C^\infty, f(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1} f(g), \forall g \in G, \forall k \in K\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Similarly, we denote by $C_c^\infty(G;\tau)$ the subspace of $C^\infty(G;\tau)$ of compactly supported functions and $L^2(G;\tau)$ the completion of $C_c^\infty(G;\tau)$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle f, h \rangle = \int_{G/K} \langle f(g), h(g) \rangle_\tau d\dot{g}.$$

Let $A \colon C^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) \to C^\infty(G;\tau)$ be the operator, defined by

$$Af(g) = g^{-1} f(gK).$$

Then, the canonical connection on $\widetilde{E}_\tau$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(\nabla^\tau_{d\pi(g)X} f)(g) &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} Af(g \exp(tX)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (g \exp(tX))^{-1} f(g \exp(tX)K), \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in G, X \in \mathfrak{p}$, and $f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$. By [Mia80, p. 4], A induces a canonical isomorphism

$$C^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) \cong C^\infty(G;\tau). \tag{5.2}$$

Similarly, there exist the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} C_c^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) &\cong C_c^\infty(G;\tau); \\ L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) &\cong L^2(G;\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We consider the Bochner-Laplace operator associated with $\widetilde{\nabla}^\tau$,

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau = (\widetilde{\nabla}^\tau)^* \widetilde{\nabla}^\tau : C_c^\infty(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) \to L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau).$$

Let now $\Omega \in Z(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ be the Casimir element of G. We assume that τ is irreducible. Let $\Omega|_K \in Z(\mathfrak{k})$ be the Casimir element of K and λ_τ the associated Casimir eigenvalue, where $Z(\mathfrak{k})$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{k}$. Then, with respect to the isomorphism (5.2), the Bochner-Laplace operator acting on $C_c^\infty(G;\tau)$ is given by

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau = -R(\Omega) + \lambda_\tau \operatorname{Id}. \tag{5.4}$$

This is proved in [Mia80, Proposition 1.1]. The operator $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$ is an elliptic formally self-adjoint differential operator of second order. By [Che73], it is an essentially self-adjoint operator. Its self-adjoint extension will be also denoted by $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$.
We consider the corresponding heat semi-group $e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau}$ acting on the space $L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$.

$$e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau} \colon L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau) \to L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau).$$

By [CY81, p.467], $e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau}, t > 0$ is an infinitely smoothing operator with a C^∞-kernel, i.e. there exists a smooth function $k_t^\tau \colon G \times G \to \operatorname{End}(V_\tau)$ such that

1. it is symmetric in the G-variables and for each $g \in G$, the map $g' \mapsto k_t^\tau(g,g')$ belongs to $L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$;

2. it satisfies the covariance property,

$$k_t^\tau(gk, g'k') = \tau^{-1}(k) k_t^\tau(g,g') \tau(k'), \quad \forall g, g' \in G, k, k' \in K;$$

3. for $f \in L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$,

$$e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau} f(g) = \int_G k_t^\tau(g,g') f(g') dg'. \tag{5.5}$$

The Casimir element is invariant under the action of G. Hence, $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$ is G-invariant, and $e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau}$ is an integral operator which commutes with the right regular representation of G in $L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$. Then there exists a function $H_t^\tau \colon G \to \operatorname{End}(V_\tau)$, such that

1. $H_t^\tau(g^{-1}g') = k_t^\tau(g,g'), \quad \forall g, g' \in G$;

2. it satisfies the covariance property

$$H_t^\tau(kgk') = \tau^{-1}(k) H_t^\tau(g) \tau(k'), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall k, k' \in K; \tag{5.6}$$

3. for $f \in L^2(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_\tau)$,

$$e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau} f(g) = \int_G H_t^\tau(g^{-1}g') f(g') dg'. \tag{5.7}$$

We denote by $(\mathcal{C}^q(G) \otimes \operatorname{End}(V_\tau))^{K\times K}$ the Harish-Chandra L^q-Schwartz space of functions on G with values in $\operatorname{End}(V_\tau)$, defined as in [BM83, p.161-162], such that the covariance property (5.6) is satisfied.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $t > 0$. Then, for every $q > 0$*

$$H_t^\tau \in (\mathcal{C}^q(G) \otimes \operatorname{End}(V_\tau))^{K\times K}.$$

Proof. This is proved in [BM83, Proposition 2.4]. □

In [BM83, p.161], it is proved that

$$e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau} = R_\Gamma(H_t^\tau),$$

where $R_\Gamma(H_t^\tau)$ denotes the bounded trace class operator, induced by the right regular representaion of G, acting on $C^\infty(G;\tau)$. It is decribed by the formula

$$e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau} f(g) = \int_G H_t^\tau(g^{-1}g') f(g') dg'.$$

More generally, we consider a unitary admissible representation π of G in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$. We set

$$\widetilde{\pi}(H_t^\tau) = \int_G \pi(g) \otimes H_t^\tau(g) dg.$$

This defines a bounded trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes V_\tau$. By [BM83, p.160-161], relative to the splitting

$$\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes V_\tau = (\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes V_\tau)^K \oplus [(\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes V_\tau)^K]^\perp,$$

$\widetilde{\pi}(H_t^\tau)$ has the form

$$\widetilde{\pi}(H_t^\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(H_t^\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.8}$$

with $\pi(H_t^\tau)$ acting on $(\mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes V_\tau)^K$. Then, it follows that

$$e^{-t(-\pi(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)} \operatorname{Id} = \pi(H_t^\tau), \tag{5.9}$$

where Id denotes the identity on the space $(\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes V_\tau)^K$ ([BM83, Corollary 2.2]). We let

$$h^\tau_t(g):=\operatorname{tr} H^\tau_t(g).$$

We consider orthonormal bases $(\xi_n), n\in\mathbb{N}, (e_j), j=1,\cdots,k$ of the vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pi, V_\tau$, respectively, where $k:=\dim(V_\tau)$. By (5.8),

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\pi(H^\tau_t))=\operatorname{Tr}(\widetilde{\pi}(H^\tau_t)). \tag{5.10}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(\widetilde{\pi}(H^\tau_t)) &=\sum_n\sum_j\langle\widetilde{\pi}(H^\tau_t)(\xi_n\otimes e_j),(\xi_n\otimes e_j)\rangle\\ &=\sum_n\sum_j\int_G\langle\pi(g)\xi_n,\xi_n\rangle\langle H^\tau_t(g)e_j,e_j\rangle dg\\ &=\sum_n\int_G\langle\pi(g)\xi_n,\xi_n\rangle h^\tau_t(g)dg\\ &=\sum_n\langle\pi(h^\tau_t)\xi_n,\xi_n\rangle\\ &=\operatorname{Tr}\pi(h^\tau_t).\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Hence, if we combine equations (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11), we get

$$\operatorname{Tr}\pi(h^\tau_t)=e^{-t(-\pi(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}\dim(\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes V_\tau)^K. \tag{5.12}$$

Now we want to specify the unitary representation π of G. We consider the unitary principal series representation $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}$, defined in section 2. Our goal is to compute the Fourier transform of h^τ_t,

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(h^\tau_t)=\operatorname{Tr}\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(h^\tau_t).$$

Proposition 5.2. *For $\sigma\in\widehat{M}$ and $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, let $\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}$ be the global character of $\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}$. Let $\tau\in\widehat{K}$. Then,*

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(h^\tau_t)=e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. We have

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(h^\tau_t)=e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}}\otimes V_\tau)^K=e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}:\check{\tau}],$$

where $\check{\tau}$ denotes the contragredient representation of τ. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(h^\tau_t)=&e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}:\check{\tau}]\\ &e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}:\tau]=e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega)+\lambda_\tau)}[\tau\mid_M:\sigma].\end{aligned}$$

In the last line in the equation above we use the Frobenius reciprocity principle, which is described for compact Lie groups in ([Kna86, Theorem 1.14]). By ([Kna86, p.208]), one has

$$[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}|_K : \tau] = \sum_{\omega \in (M\cap K)\hat{}} n_\omega [\tau\ |_{M\cup K} \colon \omega],$$

where n_ω are positive integers. But, in our case $M \subset K$ and therefore $M \cap K = M$. Hence,

$$[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}|_K : \tau] = [\tau\ |_M \colon \sigma].$$

By [GW98, Theorem 8.1.3], K is multiplicity free in G, i.e. $[\pi_{\sigma,\lambda} : \tau] \leq 1$. The assertion follows. □

We pass now to $X = \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{X}$. We consider the locally homogeneous vector bundle

$$E_\tau := \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{E}_\tau \to X.$$

Let E_χ be the flat vector bundle over X. We want to derive a trace formula for the heat operator $e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}$. By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 in [Mül11], $e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}$ is an integral operator with smooth kernel and of trace class.

We can apply the Lidskii's theorem, which gives a general expression for the trace of a trace class (not necessarily self-adjoint) operator in terms of its eigenvalues. By [Sim05, Theorem 3.7],

$$\operatorname{Tr} e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}} = \sum_{\lambda_j \in \operatorname{spec}(\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi})} m(\lambda_j) e^{-t\lambda_j}, \tag{5.14}$$

where $m(\lambda_j)$ is in the Definition 4.6. The kernel function $H^{\tau,\chi}_t$ of the integral operator $e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}$ is a smooth section of $(E_\tau \otimes E_\chi) \otimes (E_\tau \otimes E_\chi)^*$, i.e.,

$$H^{\tau,\chi}_t \in C^\infty(X, (E_\tau \otimes E_\chi) \otimes (E_\tau \otimes E_\chi)^*).$$

It can be expressed as

$$H^{\tau,\chi}_t(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \widetilde{H}^\tau_t(\widetilde{x}, \gamma\widetilde{y}) \otimes \chi(\gamma) \operatorname{Id}_{V_\chi},$$

where $\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}$ are lifts of x, y to $\widetilde{X}$, respectively, and $\widetilde{H}^\tau_t$ is the kernel of $e^{-t\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau}$.

By [Mül11, Proposition 4.1], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Let E_χ be a flat vector bundle over $X = \Gamma\backslash\widetilde{X}$ associated with a finite dimensional complex representation $\chi\colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{GL}(V_\chi)$ of Γ. Let $\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ be the twisted Bochner-Laplace operator acting on $C^\infty(X, E_\tau \otimes E_\chi)$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}) &= \sum_{\lambda_j \in \mathrm{spec}(\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi})} m(\lambda_j) e^{-t\lambda_j} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\gamma) \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \mathrm{tr}\, H^\tau_t(g^{-1}\gamma g) d\dot{g}. \qquad (5.15)\end{aligned}$$

We proceed further to obtain a better version of the trace formula, analyzing the above identity in orbital integrals. We group together into the conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ of Γ, and we write separately the conjugacy class of the identity element e to get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-t\Delta^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}}) =& \dim(V_\chi)\,\mathrm{Vol}(X)\,\mathrm{tr}\, H^\tau_t(e) \\ &+ \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\gamma)\,\mathrm{Vol}(\Gamma_\gamma\backslash G_\gamma) \int_{G_\gamma\backslash G} \mathrm{tr}\, H^\tau_t(g^{-1}\gamma g) d\dot{g}, \qquad (5.16)\end{aligned}$$

where Γ_γ and G_γ are the centralizers of γ in Γ and G, respectively.

We are interested in the zeta functions associated with a geodesic flow on the bundle $E(\sigma,\chi) := G \times_{\sigma\otimes\chi} (V_\sigma \otimes V_\gamma) \to S(X)$ as it is explained in section 3. Let $R(M)^+$ and $R(M)^-$ be the subspaces of the elements of $R(M)$ that are invariant, respectively not invariant, under the action of the Weyl group W_A. More precisely, since the order of the Weyl group W_A is two, there is an eigenspace decomposition of $R(M)$ into $R(M)^+$ and $R(M)^-$. The subspaces $R(M)^\pm$ correspond to the (± 1)-eigenspaces, respectively.

Proposition 5.4.

1. *The map i^* is a bijection between $R(K)$ and $R(M)^+$*
2. *If $\sigma \in R(M)^-$, then there exists a unique element $\tau(\sigma) \in \widehat{K}$, with highest weight $\nu_\tau = \big((\nu_1 - \frac{1}{2})e_1, \ldots, (\nu_n - \frac{1}{2})e_n\big)$ and $\nu_n(\sigma) > 0$, such that (4.41),*

   $$\sigma - w\sigma = (s^+ - s^-) i^*(\tau(\sigma)), \qquad (5.17)$$

   where s^+, s^- are the half spin representations of M. More precisely, if s is the spin representation of K, then $\tau(\sigma) \otimes s$ splits into

   $$\tau(\sigma) \otimes s = \tau^+(\sigma) \oplus \tau^-(\sigma) \qquad (5.18)$$

   such that

   $$\sigma + w\sigma = i^*(\tau^+(\sigma) - \tau^-(\sigma)), \qquad (5.19)$$

with

$$\tau^{\pm}(\sigma) = \sum_{\substack{\mu\in\{0,1\}^n\\ c(\mu)=\pm 1}} (-1)^{c(\mu)}\tau_{\nu_\mu}(\sigma), \tag{5.20}$$

where $c(\mu) := \sharp\{1 \in \mu\}$*,* $\tau_{\nu_\mu}(\sigma)$ *is the representation of* K *with highest weight* $\nu_\mu(\sigma) = \nu_\sigma - \mu$*, and* ν_σ *is given by* (2.5)*.*

Proof. See [BO95, Proposition 1.1]. □

Let $\tau_\sigma \in R(K)$ with $\tau_\sigma := \tau^+(\sigma) - \tau^-(\sigma)$. By Proposition 5.4, there exist unique integers $m_\tau(\sigma) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, which are equal to zero except for finitely many $\tau \in \widehat{K}$, such that

$$\sigma = \sum_{\tau\in\widehat{K}} m_\tau(\sigma) i^*(\tau); \tag{5.21}$$

Then, the locally homogeneous vector bundle $E(\sigma)$ associated with τ is of the form

$$E(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\tau\in\widehat{K}\\ m_\tau(\sigma)\neq 0}} E_\tau, \tag{5.22}$$

where E_τ is the locally homogeneous vector bundle associated with $\tau \in \widehat{K}$. Therefore, the vector bundle $E(\sigma)$ has a grading $E(\sigma) = E(\sigma)^+ \oplus E(\sigma)^-$. This grading is defined exactly by the positive or negative sign of $m_\tau(\sigma)$. Let $\widetilde{E}(\sigma)$ be the pullback of $E(\sigma)$ to $\widetilde{X}$. Then,

$$\widetilde{E}(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\tau\in\widehat{K}\\ m_\tau(\sigma)\neq 0}} \widetilde{E}_\tau.$$

We consider now the lift $\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau$ of the Bochner-Laplace operator Δ_τ associated to $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ to $\widetilde{X}$, acting on smooth sections of $\widetilde{E}_\tau$. Recall equation (5.4):

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_\tau = -R(\Omega) + \lambda_\tau \operatorname{Id}.$$

We put

$$\widetilde{A}_\tau := \widetilde{\Delta}_\tau - \lambda_\tau \operatorname{Id}. \tag{5.23}$$

Hence, by (5.4) the operator $\widetilde{A}_\tau$ acts like $-R(\Omega)$ on the space of smooth sections of $\widetilde{E}_\tau$. It is an elliptic formally self-adjoint operator of second order. By [Che73], it is an essentially self-adjoint operator. Its self-adjoint extension will be also denoted by $\widetilde{A}_\tau$.

We use the flat Laplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$ on the universal covering $\widetilde{X}$. We get then the operator $\widetilde{A}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$ acting on the space $C^{\infty}(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}_{\tau} \otimes \widetilde{E}_{\chi})$. Since $\widetilde{A}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$ is induced by the operator $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$, it can be locally described as

$$\widetilde{A}^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi} = \widetilde{A}_{\tau} \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{V_{\chi}} . \tag{5.24}$$

We pass to $X = \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{X}$. We put

$$c(\sigma) := -|\rho|^2 - |\rho_m|^2 + |\nu_{\sigma} + \rho_m|^2, \tag{5.25}$$

where ν_{σ} is the highest weight of $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ as in (2.5) and ρ, ρ_m are defined by (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. We define the operator $A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)$ acting on $C^{\infty}(X, E(\sigma) \otimes E_{\chi})$ by

$$A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma) := \bigoplus_{m_{\tau}(\sigma) \neq 0} A^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi} + c(\sigma). \tag{5.26}$$

Obviously, $A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)$ preserves the grading. It is an elliptic operator of order two. However, the situation is now different, because it is not a self-adjoint operator anymore. This property is carried by the operator $A^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$.

We deal first with the corresponding heat semi-group generated by the operator $e^{-tA^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}}$. Since $A^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$ is induced by $\Delta^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}$, it is an integral operator with smooth kernel. By Proposition 5.3, its trace is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tA^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathrm{tr}\, \chi(\gamma) \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \mathrm{tr}\, Q^{\tau}_t(g^{-1}\gamma g) d\dot{g}, \tag{5.27}$$

where $Q^{\tau}_t \in (\mathcal{C}^q(G) \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\tau}))^{K \times K}$ is the kernel associated to the operator $e^{-t\widetilde{A}_{\tau}}$. We put

$$q^{\tau}_t = \mathrm{tr}\, Q^{\tau}_t(g).$$

$$q^{\sigma}_t = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} m_{\tau}(\sigma) q^{\tau}_t, \tag{5.28}$$

$$K(t;\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} m_{\tau}(\sigma)\, \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-tA^{\sharp}_{\tau,\chi}}). \tag{5.29}$$

We use now the trace formula from [Wal76, p.177-178], which expands (5.27). We have

$$\begin{aligned} K(t;\sigma) = {} & \dim(V_{\chi})\, \mathrm{Vol}(X) q^{\sigma}_t(e) \\ & + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{[\gamma] \neq e} \frac{l(\gamma)\, \mathrm{tr}(\chi(\gamma))}{n_{\Gamma}(\gamma) D(\gamma)} \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \overline{\mathrm{tr}\, \sigma(m_{\gamma})} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q^{\sigma}_t) e^{-il(\gamma)\lambda} d\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

We continue analysing the trace formula above in terms of characters. For the identity contribution we have

$$(q_t^\sigma)(e) = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q_t^\sigma) P_\sigma(i\lambda) d\lambda, \tag{5.31}$$

where $P_\sigma(i\lambda)$ denotes the Plancherel polynomial, defined in section 2. By equation (5.28) we get

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q_t^\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} m_\tau(\sigma) \Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q_t^\tau). \tag{5.32}$$

By Proposition 5.2,

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q_t^\tau) = e^{-t(-\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega))}[\tau|_M : \sigma]. \tag{5.33}$$

The term λ_τ does not occur here, since our operator $A^\sharp_{\tau,\chi}$ is induced by the operator $A_\tau = \Delta_\tau - \lambda_\tau \operatorname{Id}$. We recall also

$$\pi_{\sigma,\lambda}(\Omega) = -\lambda^2 + c(\sigma). \tag{5.34}$$

This is proved in [Art, p.48].
Combining equations (5.32), (5.33) and (5.34) we get

$$\Theta_{\sigma,\lambda}(q_t^\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} m_\tau(\sigma) e^{-t(\lambda^2 - c(\sigma))}[\tau|_M : \sigma]. \tag{5.35}$$

Equivalently, for $\sigma, \sigma' \in \widehat{M}$

$$\Theta_{\sigma',\lambda}(q_t^\sigma) = e^{tc(\sigma)} e^{-t\lambda^2} \left[\sum_{\tau \in \widehat{K}} m_\tau(\sigma) i^*(\tau) : \sigma' \right].$$

Hence, by (5.21),

$$\Theta_{\sigma',\lambda}(q_t^\sigma) = e^{tc(\sigma)} e^{-t\lambda^2}. \tag{5.36}$$

If we put everything together and insert (5.31), and (5.36) in (5.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(t;\sigma) =& e^{tc(\sigma_k)} \bigg(\dim(V_\chi) \operatorname{Vol}(X) \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\lambda^2} P_\sigma(i\lambda) d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{[\gamma] \neq [e]} \frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) \frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}} \bigg); \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma) = \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma))e^{-|\rho| l(\gamma)}}{\det(\operatorname{Id}-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})}. \tag{5.37}$$

By the definition of the operator $A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)$ in (5.26), we get the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *For every $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(e^{-tA^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)}) = &\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\lambda^2}P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda \\ &+\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)\frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/4t}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}};\end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

where $L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)$ is as in (5.37).

6 Meromorphic continuation of the zeta functions

Let A be a closed linear operator, defined on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{C} - \operatorname{spec}(-A)$. We set $R(a) := (A + a\operatorname{Id})^{-1} = (A+a)^{-1}$. Then, the resolvent identity states

$$R(a) - R(b) = (b-a)R(a)R(b),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C} - \operatorname{spec}(-A)$. The generalized resolvent identity is described in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $s_1, \ldots, s_N \in \mathbb{C} - \operatorname{spec}(-A)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $s_i \neq s_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Then,*

$$\prod_{i=1}^N R(s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^N \frac{1}{s_j - s_i}\Bigg) R(s_i). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. This is proved in [BO95, Lemma 3.5]. □

We will use also the following lemmata.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $s_1, \ldots, s_N \in \mathbb{C}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $s_i \neq s_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and let $l = 0, 1, \ldots, N-2$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^{2l}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^N \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2}\Bigg) = 0. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. This follows from [BO95, Lemma 3.6], applied to s_i^2. ☐

Lemma 6.3. *Let $s_1, \dots, s_N \in \mathbb{C}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $s_i \neq s_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Then,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \Bigg) e^{-ts_i^2} = O(t^{N-1}), \tag{6.3}$$

as $t \to 0^+$.

Proof. We will use the Taylor expansion of the exponential function $e^{-ts_i^2}$.
We have as $t \to 0^+$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \Bigg) e^{-ts_i^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} s_i^{2k} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \Bigg) + O(t^{N-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{(-t)^k}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^{2k} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \Bigg) + O(t^{N-1}) = O(t^{N-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used Lemma 6.2. ☐

Lemma 6.4. *Let $s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Re}(s_i^2) > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then, the following integral*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq k}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_k^2} \Bigg) e^{-t(s_k^2+\lambda^2)} P_\sigma(i\lambda) d\lambda dt \tag{6.4}$$

converges absolutely.

Proof. We have as $t \to \infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Bigg| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq k}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_k^2} \Bigg) e^{-t(s_k^2+\lambda^2)} P_\sigma(i\lambda) \Bigg| d\lambda = O(e^{-t\epsilon}), \tag{6.5}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.
We use now the fact the $P(i\lambda)$ is an even polynomial of degree $2n$ ([Mia79, 264-265]).
If we make a change of variables $\lambda' \mapsto \lambda\sqrt{t}$, we get as $t \to 0^+$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| e^{-t\lambda^2} P_\sigma(i\lambda) \right| d\lambda = O(t^{-d/2}). \tag{6.6}$$

Hence, if we combine (6.3) and (6.6) we have that as $t \to 0^+$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_k^2} \right) e^{-t(s_k^2+\lambda^2)} P_\sigma(i\lambda) \right| d\lambda = O(t^{-d/2+N-1}). \tag{6.7}$$

The assertion follows from (6.5) and (6.7). ☐

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $s_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ be complex numbers such that $s_i \in \mathbb{C} - \operatorname{spec}(-A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma))$. We consider the resolvent operator $R(s_i^2) = (A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma) + s_i^2)^{-1}$. We want to obtain the trace class property of the operator $\prod_{i=1}^N R(s_i^2)$. In order to obtain this property, we take sufficient large $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for $N > \frac{d}{2}$,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\prod_{i=1}^{N} R(s_i^2)) < \infty. \tag{6.8}$$

We denote the space of pseudodifferential operators of order k by ψDO^k. To prove the trace class property of the operators above, we observe at first that $\prod_{i=1}^N R(s_i^2) \in \psi DO^{-2N}$.

Let Δ be the Bochner-Laplace operator with respect to some metric, acting on $C^\infty(X, E_{\tau_\sigma} \otimes E_\chi)$. Then, Δ is a second-order elliptic differential operator, which is formally self-adjoint and non-negative, i.e., $\Delta \geq 0$. Then, by Weyl's law, we have that for $N > \frac{d}{2}$, $(\Delta + \mathrm{Id})^{-N}$ is a trace class operator. Moreover, $B := (\Delta + \mathrm{Id})^N \prod_{i=1}^N R(s_i^2)$ is ψDO of order zero. Hence, it defines a bounded operator in $L^2(X, E_{\tau_s(\sigma)} \otimes E_\chi)$. Thus, $\prod_{i=1}^N R(s_i^2) = (\Delta + \mathrm{Id})^{-N} B$ is a trace class operator.

We recall here the following expressions of the resolvents. Let $s_1, \dots, s_N \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s_i^2) > -c$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, where c is a real number such that $\operatorname{spec}\left(A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)\right) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon \operatorname{Re}(z) > c\}$. Then,

$$(A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma) + s_i^2)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-ts_i^2} e^{-tA^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)} dt. \tag{6.9}$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N > d/2$. Let $s_1, \dots, s_N \in \mathbb{C}$ with $s_i \neq s_j$ for all $i \neq j$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(s_i^2) > -C$, for all $i = 1, \dots, N$, where C is a real number such that $\operatorname{spec}\left(A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)\right) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} \colon \operatorname{Re}(z) > C\}$.
By Lemma 6.1 and equation (6.9), we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} (A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma) + s_i^2)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \right) e^{-ts_i^2} e^{-tA^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)} dt.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{Tr}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}=\int_0^\infty\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-ts_i^2}\operatorname{Tr}e^{-tA^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)}dt.$$

We insert now the right-hand side of the trace formula (5.38) for the operator $A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)$ and get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}&=\int_0^\infty\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-ts_i^2}\\ &\Bigg\{\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-t\lambda^2}P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda+\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)\frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/(4t)}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}}\Bigg\}dt.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}&=\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-ts_i^2}e^{-t\lambda^2}P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda dt\\ &+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_0^\infty\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-ts_i^2}\Bigg\{\sum_{[\gamma]\neq[e]}\frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)\frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/(4t)}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}}\Bigg\}dt.\end{aligned}\tag{6.10}$$

The first sum in the right-hand side of (6.10), which involves the double integral can be explicitly calculated. We set

$$I:=\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-ts_i^2}e^{-t\lambda^2}P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda dt.$$

By Lemma 6.4, we can interchange the order of the integration and get

$$I=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_0^\infty\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)e^{-t(s_i^2+\lambda^2)}P_\sigma(i\lambda)dtd\lambda.$$

By [BO95, Lemma 3.5] and since P_σ is an even polynomial, we obtain the following convergent integral

$$I=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\Bigg(\frac{1}{\lambda^2+s_i^2}\Bigg)P_\sigma(i\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Using the Cauchy integral formula we have

$$I=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{\pi}{s_i}P_\sigma(s_i). \tag{6.11}$$

For the second sum in the right-hand side of (6.10) we use the formula (see [EMOT54, p.146,(27)])

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-ts^2}\frac{e^{-l(\gamma)^2/(4t)}}{(4\pi t)^{1/2}}dt=\frac{1}{2s}e^{-sl(\gamma)}. \tag{6.12}$$

Hence, equation (6.10) becomes by (6.11) and (6.12)

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{\pi}{s_i}\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)P(s_i)\\&+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2s_i}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e}\frac{l(\gamma)}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)}L_{sym}(\gamma;\sigma)e^{-s_il(\gamma)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

By Lemma 3.6, the sum over the conjugacy classes $[\gamma]$ of Γ in the right-hand side of (6.13) is equal to the logarithmic derivative $L(s_i)=\frac{d}{ds}\log(Z(s_i;\sigma,\chi)$ of the Selberg zeta function. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\prod_{i=1}^{N}(A^\sharp_\chi(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}&=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{\pi}{s_i}\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)P(s_i)\\&+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2s_i}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)L(s_i).\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

In the Theorem 6.5 below, we choose the branch of the square roots of the complex numbers t_k, whose real part is positive. In addition, if t_k are negative real numbers, we choose the branch of the square roots, whose imaginary part is positive.

Theorem 6.5. *The Selberg zeta function $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The set of the singularities equals $\{s_k^{\pm}=\pm i\sqrt{t_k}: t_k\in\operatorname{spec}(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)), k\in\mathbb{N}\}$. The orders of the singularities are equal to $m(t_k)$, where $m(t_k)\in\mathbb{N}$ denotes the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue t_k. For $t_0=0$, the order of the singularity s_0 is equal to $2m(0)$.*

Proof. By (6.1) and (6.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)(A^{\sharp}_{\chi}(\sigma)+s_i^2)^{-1}={}&\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{\pi}{s_i}\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)P(s_i)\\&+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{1}{2s_i}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)L(s_i).\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{1}{2s_i}L(s_i)={}&-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{\pi}{s_i}\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)P(s_i)\\&+\sum_{t_k}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{m(t_k)}{t_k+s_i^2},\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

If we multiply equation (6.15) by $2s_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{s_1}{s_i}L(s_i)={}&-\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{2s_1}{s_i}\pi\dim(V_\chi)\operatorname{Vol}(X)P(s_i)\\&+\sum_{t_k}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)2s_1\frac{m(t_k)}{t_k+s_i^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

Let $\Psi(s_1,\ldots,s_N)$ be the function of the complex numbers $s_1,\ldots,s_N$, defined by

$$\Psi(s_1,\ldots,s_N):=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\Bigg(\prod_{\substack{j=1\\ j\neq i}}^{N}\frac{1}{s_j^2-s_i^2}\Bigg)\frac{s_1}{s_i}L(s_i).$$

We fix the complex numbers $s_i, i = 2, \dots, N$ with $s_i \neq s_j$ for $i, j = 2, \dots, N$ and let the complex number $s = s_1$ vary.
Put

$$\Psi(s, \dots, s_N) = \Psi(s).$$

Then, equation (6.16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(s) = &-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \right) \frac{2s}{s_i} \pi \dim(V_\chi) \operatorname{Vol}(X) P(s_i) \\ &+ \sum_{t_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \right) 2s \frac{m(t_k)}{t_k + s_i^2},\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

where $s_1 = s$. The term that contains the logarithmic derivative $L(s)$ in $\Psi(s)$ is of the form

$$\left(\prod_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s^2} \right) L(s).$$

The term of

$$\sum_{t_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j\neq i}}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s_i^2} \right) 2s \frac{m(t_k)}{t_k + s_i^2},$$

which is singular at $\pm i\sqrt{t_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is

$$\left(\prod_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{s_j^2 - s^2} \right) 2s \frac{m(t_k)}{t_k + s^2}.$$

We multiply both sides of the equality (6.17) by

$$\prod_{j=2}^{N} (s_j^2 - s^2).$$

Then, the residues of $L(s)$ at the points $\pm i\sqrt{t_k}$ are $m(t_k)$, for $k \neq 0$, and $2m(0)$ for $k = 0$.

By (3.20), $L(s)$ decreases exponentially as $\operatorname{Re}(s) \to \infty$. Hence, the integral

$$\int_s^\infty L(w)dw$$

over a path connecting s and infinity is well defined and

$$\log Z(s;\sigma,\chi) = -\int_s^\infty L(w)dw. \tag{6.18}$$

The integral above depends on the choice of the path, because $L(s)$ has singularities. Since the residues of the singularities are integers, it follows that the exponential of the integral in the right-hand side of (6.18) is independent of the choice of the path. The meromorphic continuation of the Selberg zeta function $Z(s;\sigma,\chi)$ to the whole complex plane follows.

$\square$

In view of the previous results, we will use the meromorphic continuation of the Selberg zeta function to obtain the meromorphic continuation of the Ruelle zeta function to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

Following the analysis in [BO95, p. 93-94], we consider the identification $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \cong \mathbb{C} \ni \lambda$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be the unique positive root of the system $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$. Let $\lambda\colon A \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character, defined by $\lambda(a) = e^{\alpha(\log a)}$.

Let $\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. Let $\nu_p := \Lambda^p \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}}(MA)$ be the representation of MA in $\Lambda^p\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by the p-th exterior power of the adjoint representation:

$$\nu_p := \Lambda^p \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}}\colon MA \to \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda^p\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad p = 0,1,\dots,d-1.$$

For $p = 0,1,\dots,d-1$, let $J_p \subset \{(\psi_p,\lambda)\colon \psi_p \in \widehat{M}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ be the subset consisting of all pairs of unitary irreducible representations of M and one dimensional representations of A such that, as MA-modules, the representations ν_p decompose as

$$\Lambda^p\mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} V_{\psi_p} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda,$$

where $\mathbb{C}_\lambda \cong \mathbb{C}$ denotes the representation space of λ.

For $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ we define

$$Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi) := \prod_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} Z(s+\rho-\lambda;\psi_p\otimes\sigma,\chi). \tag{6.19}$$

We have then the following theorem, which gives a representation of $R(s;\sigma,\chi)$ as a product of $Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi)$ over p.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$. Then the Ruelle zeta function has the representation*

$$R(s;\sigma,\chi) = \prod_{p=0}^{d-1} Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi)^{(-1)^p}. \tag{6.20}$$

Proof. By (3.14), we have

$$\log Z(s+\rho-\lambda;\psi_p\otimes\sigma,\chi) = -\sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) \frac{e^{(-s-2\rho+\lambda)l(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\psi_p(m))}{\det(1-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}})}. \tag{6.21}$$

We use now the fact that

$$\det(1-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\overline{\mathfrak{n}}}) = (-1)^{d-1} a_\gamma^{-2\rho} \det(1-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{n}}). \tag{6.22}$$

Hence, if we insert (6.22) in (6.21), we get

$$\log Z(s+\rho-\lambda;\psi_p\otimes\sigma,\chi) = (-1)^d \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) \frac{e^{-sl(\gamma)} e^{\lambda l(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\psi_p(m))}{\det(1-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{n}})}. \tag{6.23}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_{p=0}^{d-1} Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi)^{(-1)^p} &= \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} \log Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi)^{(-1)^p} \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} (-1)^p \log Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} (-1)^p \log \prod_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} Z(s+\rho-\lambda;\psi_p\otimes\sigma,\chi) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} (-1)^p \sum_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} \log\Big(Z(s+\rho-\lambda;\psi_p\otimes\sigma,\chi)\Big) \\
&= \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} (-1)^p \Bigg((-1)^d \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) e^{-sl(\gamma)} \\
&\quad \frac{\sum_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} e^{\lambda l(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\psi_p(m))}{\det(1-\operatorname{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{n}})} \Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

where in the third line we used the definition (6.19), and in the last line, equation (6.23). We recall now the that for any endomorphism of a finite dimensional vector space W, we have

$$\det(\mathrm{Id}_W - W) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda^p W).$$

If we apply this identity to $\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)|_{\mathfrak{n}}$ we get

$$\sum_{p=0}^{d-1} (-1)^p \frac{\sum_{(\psi_p,\lambda)\in J_p} e^{\lambda l(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\psi_p(m))}{\det(1-\mathrm{Ad}(m_\gamma a_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}})} = 1.$$

Hence, by (6.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log \prod_{p=0}^{d-1} Z_p(s;\sigma,\chi)^{(-1)^p} &= (-1)^d \sum_{[\gamma]\neq e} \frac{1}{n_\Gamma(\gamma)} \operatorname{tr}(\chi(\gamma)\otimes\sigma(m_\gamma)) e^{-sl(\gamma)} \\ &= \log R(s;\sigma,\chi),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used by equation (3.17) in the proof of Proposition 3.5. □

Theorem 6.7. *For every $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$, the Ruelle zeta function $R(s;\sigma,\chi)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 6.5 together with Theorem 6.6. □

References

[Art] James G. Arthur, *Harmonic analysis of tempered distributions on semisimple Lie groups of real rank one*, Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 31.

[BK08] Maxim Braverman and Thomas Kappeler, *Refined analytic torsion*, J. Differential Geom. **78** (2008), no. 2, 193–267.

[BM83] Dan Barbasch and Henri Moscovici, *L^2-index and the Selberg trace formula*, J. Funct. Anal. **53** (1983), no. 2, 151–201.

[BO95] Ulrich Bunke and Martin Olbrich, *Selberg zeta and theta functions*, Mathematical Research, vol. 83, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, A differential operator approach.

[Che73] Paul R. Chernoff, *Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations*, J. Functional Analysis **12** (1973), 401–414.

[CY81] Jeff Cheeger and Shing Tung Yau, *A lower bound for the heat kernel*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1981), no. 4, 465–480.

[EMOT54] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954, Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman.

[Fri86] David Fried, *Analytic torsion and closed geodesics on hyperbolic manifolds*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), no. 3, 523–540.

[Fri95] ______, *Meromorphic zeta functions for analytic flows*, Comm. Math. Phys. **174** (1995), no. 1, 161–190.

[Fri00] Thomas Friedrich, *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000, Translated from the 1997 German original by Andreas Nestke.

[GKM68] D. Gromoll, W. Klingenberg, and W. Meyer, *Riemannsche Geometrie im Grossen*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1968.

[GW98] Roe Goodman and Nolan R. Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 68, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[HC66] Harish-Chandra, *Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), 1–111.

[KN96] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996, Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[Kna86] Anthony W. Knapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 36, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1986, An overview based on examples.

[LM89] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

[LMR00] Alexander Lubotzky, Shahar Mozes, and M. S. Raghunathan, *The word and Riemannian metrics on lattices of semisimple groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (2000), no. 91, 5–53 (2001).

[Mar88] A. S. Markus, *Introduction to the spectral theory of polynomial operator pencils*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 71, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988, Translated from the Russian by H. H. McFaden, Translation edited by Ben Silver, With an appendix by M. V. Keldysh.

[Mia79] Roberto J. Miatello, *On the Plancherel measure for linear Lie groups of rank one*, Manuscripta Math. **29** (1979), no. 2-4, 249–276.

[Mia80] ______, *The Minakshisundaram-Pleijel coefficients for the vector-valued heat kernel on compact locally symmetric spaces of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), no. 1, 1–33.

[MM63] Yozô Matsushima and Shingo Murakami, *On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 365–416.

[MM13] Simon Marshall and Werner Müller, *On the torsion in the cohomology of arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), no. 5, 863–888.

[Mül11] Werner Müller, *A Selberg trace formula for non-unitary twists*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 9, 2068–2109.

[Mül12] ______, *The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion of hyperbolic 3-manifolds.*, Metric and differential geometry. The Jeff Cheeger anniversary volume. Selected papers based on the presentations at the international conference on metric and differential geometry, Tianjin and Beijing, China, May 11–15, 2009, Berlin: Springer, 2012, pp. 317–352 (English).

[RS71] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math. **7** (1971), 145–210.

[Shu87] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987, Translated from the Russian by Stig I. Andersson.

[Sim05] Barry Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.

[Wal73] Nolan R. Wallach, *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 19.

[Wal76] ______, *On the Selberg trace formula in the case of compact quotient*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), no. 2, 171–195.

[Wot08] A. Wotzke, *Die Ruellesche Zetafunktion und die analytische Torsion hyperbolischer Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph.d thesis, Bonn, Bonner Mathematische Schriften, 2008.

UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, ENDENICHER ALLE 60, D-53115, GERMANY
E-mail address: xspilioti@math.uni-bonn.de